Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/686,297	KANG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter Szekely	1714	

All Participants:

(1) *Peter Szekely*.

(2) *Edward Callaghan*.

Status of Application: *Abandoned*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *30 June 2006*

Time: *3:30 p.m.*

Type of Interview:
- ☒ Telephonic
- ☐ Video Conference
- ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None.

Claims discussed:
None.

Prior art documents discussed:
None.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Mr. Callaghan stated that no response to the rejection has been sent.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)